Application No. 09/996,849

Petition For Revival Of An Application Abandoned Unintentionally

Pleated October 12, 2005

OCT 12 2005

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Artheation No.:

09/996,849

Confirmation No.:

4891

Applicant(s):

Michael K. Davis

Filed:

11/27/2001

TC/A.U.:

2654

Examiner:

David D. Knepper

Docket No.:

50031.0020

Customer No: 36178

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### FEE TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is

- 1. Petition For Revival Of An Application Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (2 Pages)
- 2. Additional Statement (3 Pages)
- 3. Post Card

### CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

### **MAILING**

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10\*

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee,"

a Meyer

Mailing Label No. EQ039421653US

TRANSMISSION

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: Uctober 12, 2005

Signature

Alla Meyer

(type or print name of person certifying)

(Fee Transmittal--page 1)

# **FEE PAYMENT**

NOTE:

[ ] [X]	Attached is a check in the sum of \$  Charge Account No. 502398 the sum of \$750.00.  A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.	
	FEE DEFICIENCY	
the addit before th to charg to apply	ere is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are no additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month perion re the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances we harge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance oply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for all dechecked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).	od has expired where authorization ee Branch in order
[x]	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 50	2398
	AND/OR	
[x]	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. <u>502398</u>	
Date:_	e: October 12, 2005  SIGNATURE OF PRACTITION	ER
	Lee G. Meyer (type or print name of practitioner) Reg. No. 27,216 Meyer & Associates, LLC	

Tel. No. 720-870-5845 USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 36178

17462 E. Powers Drive Centennial, CO 80015-3046

PTO/SB/64 (07-05) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to e collection of information unless it displays e valid OMB control number.

# PR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT

Docket Number (Optional)

50031.0020 ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) First named inventor: Michael K. Davis Art Unit: 2654 Application No.: 09/996/849 Filed: 11/27/2001 Examiner: Kneeper, David D. Title: INTEGRATED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ELECTRONIC SPEECH RECOGNITION AND TRANSCRIPTION CUSTOMER NO. 36178 Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional. 1.Petition fee Small entity-fee \$750.00 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity – fee \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (37 CFR 1.17(m)) 2. Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in (identify type of reply): the form of AMENDMENT PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §1.111 has been filed previously on March 11, 2005 \_ is enclosed herewith. B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$\_\_\_\_ has been paid previously on \_ is enclosed herewith.

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 end 37 CFR 1.11 end 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, end submitting the completed epplication form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Informetion Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64 (07-05)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee				
Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.				
	7 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ required period of time is enclosed herewith (see			
filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137( Trademark Office may require additional information	red reply from the due date for the required reply until the b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and tion if there is a question as to whether either the er 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c),			
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.				
1. Im	October 12, 2005			
Signature	Date			
Lee G. Meyer, Esq.	27,216			
Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable			
17462 E. Powers Drive	700 070 5045			
Address	720-870-5845 Telephone Number			
Addless	reliaphone rumber			
Centennial, CO 80015				
Address				
Enclosures: ✓ Fee Payment				
Reply				
Terminal Disclaimer Form				
Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay				
✓ Other: Transmittal and Post Card/ Tra	nsmitted as Express Mail - EQ039421653US			
	OD TDANONIOCION (07 CED 4 9/c))			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:  Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.				
Transmitted by facsimile on the date Office as (571) 273-8300.	shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark			
	Alla Meyer			
October 12,2005  Date	Signature			
Date	Signature			
	Alla Meyer			
· ·	Typed or printed name of person signing certificate			

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ication No.:

09/996,849

Confirmation No.:

4891

Applicant(s):

Michael K. Davis

Filed:

11/27/2001

TC/A.U.:

2654

Examiner:

David D. Knepper

Docket No.:

50031.0020

Customer No: 36178

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Sir:

This petition is filed in response to the Notice of Abandonment dated October 6, 2005 and received by Applicants' Attorney on October 11, 2005.

The entire delay in filing the required reply, from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CF 1.137(b), was unintentional. Specifically, the above referenced application ('849 Application) was filed on November 27, 2001 and published on May 29, 2003. Applicants' Attorney prior to publication contacted Mr. Kneeper, the Examiner of record, regarding the status of examination. On July 15, 2004 a Non-Final Office

# CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

### **MAILING**

X	deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for
	Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10\*

. Negel

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

 $\boxtimes$ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee,"

Mailing Label No EQ039421653US

**TRANSMISSION** transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date October L 2005

Signature

Alla Meyer

(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment—Page 1)

Appl. No. 09/996,849 Petition For Revival Of An Application Abandoned Unintentionally Dated October 12, 2005

Action was mailed to the Applicants' Attorney of record setting a three-month shortened statutory period for response. Concurrently, the same Applicants had a continuation application (Serial No. 09/351,542) pending before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. This application had received an action setting a one-month statutory period for response. Applicants' Attorney inadvertently placed the '849 Application in the same category for response as the concurrently pending continuation application, thus, incorrectly indicating a five-month statutory extension of time was available for the '849 Application from the docketed date of October 15, 2004.

Applicants' Attorney maintains customer number and, therefore, has access to all pending applications on PAIR for which he is attorney of record. The '849 Application carries such a customer number and has for over two years. Because of the above inadvertent docketing error, the '849 Application unintentionally became abandoned January 18, 2005 without Applicants Attorney's knowledge. Specifically, the Examiner did not notify Applicants' Attorney of the status and nothing was carried on PAIR indicating the application was abandoned.

Two months later, on March 11, 2005, unaware of the abandonment of '849 Application, Applicants' Attorney filed an Amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 in full response to the Office Action dated July 15, 2004 and requested a five-month extension of time, including the required fee of \$1,080.00 (small entity). The extension of time was granted (see PAIR) and the fee along with the response was accepted and docketed by the Unites States Patent and Trademark Office without comment or indication that the '849 Application had been abandoned.

During the interim from March 11, 2005 until October 11, 2005, when the Notice of Abandonment was received by Applicants' Attorney, Applicants' attorney at least weekly monitored PAIR. During this entire time no indication was forthcoming regarding the abandonment of the '849 Application. In fact, on or about Thursday, October 6, 2005, PAIR carried an indication that a "Non-Final Office Action" had been issued in the '849 Application, but this action was not available to be viewed as part of the file wrapper. Applicants' Attorney spoke with Mr. Kneeper, the Examiner of record, today (October 12, 2005), and determined that even Mr. Kneeper was unaware that the '849 Application had become abandoned and only discovered this situation inadvertently in checking his case status.

Thus, up until receipt of the Notice of Abandonment on October 11, 2005, Applicants' Attorney had no knowledge of the abandoned status of the '849 Application. Obviously, since

Appl. No. 09/996,849 Petition For Revival Of An Application Abandoned Unintentionally Dated October 12, 2005

Applicants' Attorney had responded to the outstanding office action and paid five-month "extension of time" fee, Applicants had no intention of abandoning the '849 Application. Moreover, since the '849 Application had been pending examination for almost three years, the '849 Application was published. This publication thus precludes Applicants refilling with a later date and works a substantial hardship on Applicants who had intended, from the filing of the '849 Application to the Notice of Abandonment, to prosecute the '849 Application to issuance and had no knowledge that the '849 Application had been inadvertently abandoned.

Applicants' Attorney, in good faith, believed that the '849 Application was awaiting action on the March 11, 2005 response. Applicants' Attorney is filing this petition with the requisite fee immediately upon learning of the abandoned status of the '849 Application.

WHEREFORE, Applicants' Attorney hereby requests early action in granting this Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally.

Date: October 12, 2005

Reg. No.: 27,216

Tel. No.: 720-870-5845

PTO Customer No. 36178

Signature of Practitioner

Lee G. Meyer

Meyer & Associates, LLC

17462 E. Powers Drive

Centennial, CO 80015-3046